1	ILLINOIS FINANCE AUTHORITY
2	SPECIAL MEETING OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS
3	November 9, 2017, at 8:08 a.m.
4	
5	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at the Special
6	Meeting of the Executive Committee Members of the
7	Illinois Finance Authority on November 9, 2017, at
8	the hour of 8:00 a.m., pursuant to notice, at 160
9	North LaSalle Street, Suite S-1000, Chicago,
L 0	Illinois.
11	
L2	APPEARANCES:
L3	ILLINOIS FINANCE AUTHORITY
	EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS
L 4	MR. ERIC ANDERBERG, Chairman
L5	MR. LERRY KNOX (Added)
	MS. GILA BRONNER (Via Audio Conference)
L 6	MR. ROBERT HORNE (Via Audio Conference)
L 7	ILLINOIS FINANCE AUTHORITY STAFF MEMBERS
L 8	MR. CHRISTOPHER B. MEISTER, IFA Executive Director
	MR. BRAD FLETCHER, IFA Assistant Vice President
L 9	MS. ELIZABETH WEBER, IFA General Counsel
20	GUESTS
21	MS. ELIZABETH CAMPBELL, BLOOMBERG L.P.
22	
23	
24	

- 1 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: With that, we'll call the
- 2 meeting to order.
- 3 Will -- Assistant Secretary, please
- 4 call the roll.
- 5 FLETCHER: Certainly. The time is 8:08 a.m.
- 6 Mr. Knox?
- 7 KNOX: Here.
- 8 FLETCHER: Mr. Horne?
- 9 CHAIRMAN HORNE: Here.
- 10 FLETCHER: Ms. Bronner?
- BRONNER: Here.
- 12 FLETCHER: And Chairman Anderberg?
- 13 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Here.
- 14 FLETCHER: Mr. Chairman, a quorum of the
- 15 committee members has been constituted.
- 16 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Very good.
- Does anyone wish to make any
- 18 additions, edits, or corrections to the Open Session
- Minutes from April 13th, 2017?
- 20 (No response.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Hearing none, I'd like to
- 22 request a motion to approve the Minutes.
- Is there such a motion?
- 24 KNOX: So moved.

- 1 BRONNER: So moved.
- 2 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Okay. Mr. Knox -- and
- 3 I'll take that as a second, Gila.
- 4 BRONNER: Okay.
- 5 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: All those in favor?
- 6 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 7 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Opposed?
- 8 (No response.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: The ayes have it.
- 10 Does anyone wish to make any
- 11 additions, edits, or corrections to the Closed
- 12 Session Minutes from April 13th, 2017?
- 13 (No response.)
- 14 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Hearing none, I'd like to
- 15 request a motion to approve the Minutes.
- 16 Is there such a motion?
- 17 KNOX: So moved.
- 18 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Motion by --
- 19 CHAIRMAN HORNE: Second.
- 20 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: -- Mr. Knox; second by
- Mr. Horne.
- 22 All those in favor?
- 23 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 24 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Opposed?

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: The ayes have it.
3	Is there a motion to recommend that
4	all the Closed Session Minutes remain closed and not
5	open to the public until the next periodic review as
6	required by the Open Meetings Act?
7	BRONNER: So moved.
8	CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Motion by Ms. Bronner.
9	Can I have a second?
10	CHAIRMAN HORNE: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: A second by Mr. Chairman
12	Horne.
13	All those in favor?
14	(Chorus of ayes.)
15	CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Opposed?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: The ayes have it.
18	Okay. Federal tax reform legislation,
19	we kind of had a gut punch last Thursday by H.R. 1,
20	the House bill, and Chris is out of the room at the
21	moment. I'll let him return, because he wants to
22	talk a little bit, I think in detail, about how we're
23	circling the wagons here at the moment.
24	I'll just say in this regard that I'm

- 1 pretty disappointed in what has come out in this
- 2 House -- House resolution, but...
- 3 WEBER: Well, while we're waiting, Chairman
- 4 Anderberg, do you want to just confirm whether the
- 5 members of the Executive Committee got the further
- 6 communication from Chris Meister?
- 7 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Oh. The -- this right
- 8 here? The --
- 9 WEBER: It's a November 9, 2017 --
- 10 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Yeah.
- 11 Did you guys on the phone, did you
- 12 receive the November 9th statements that Chris
- 13 Meister put out?
- 14 WEBER: Yes, the mail [sic].
- 15 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Okay. Good. Good.
- 16 That kind of is an explanatory of
- 17 what -- of where we're at and what's going on. Chris
- 18 did an excellent job.
- 19 Obviously, the question is, for the
- 20 IFA, if this passes, what happens to our -- our
- 21 source of revenue, and I'll say that this has been --
- 22 since I've been Chairman, I know the chairman before
- 23 me, the concern was diversifying the IFA's offering
- in revenue. And that's something that's been -- has

- 1 been worked on and is being worked on. And, you
- 2 know, that will be the message for me today in the
- 3 Open Board Meeting, that we might have a very busy
- 4 December if this is going through, but the first
- 5 quarter, maybe the second quarter of next year might
- 6 be somewhat slow.
- 7 But we are, as in Chris's question and
- 8 answer explanations, we are sitting on firm a
- 9 financial ground, we have a lot of reserves, and we
- 10 will be -- either way, if this passes or doesn't
- 11 pass, we will be diversifying the offering of the IFA
- 12 to give us -- so we're not subject to something that
- 13 happens in Washington, D.C., like this again.
- So with that, I'll -- Chris, you want
- 15 to add any --
- 16 MEISTER: Thank you, Chair.
- 17 Again, I'd like to thank the members
- of the Committee for making time this morning.
- 19 Again, we know that all of you are volunteers.
- 20 First, I'd like a question for Brad
- 21 and Elizabeth because I'd like to modify the agenda
- somewhat, maybe replace Advocacy with Education on
- 23 Roman Numeral IV(a).
- Does that make sense to everyone?

- 1 WEBER: Certainly.
- 2 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Yeah.
- 3 MEISTER: Okay. Great.
- So -- so we've provided the members
- 5 with a memo. And, again, as Eric indicated, we were
- 6 surprised, but we were not alone in our surprise.
- 7 And frankly, Eric and I had the opportunity to be in
- 8 Washington on October the 10th. We met with
- 9 Congressional staff. We actually were at an
- infrastructure briefing at the White House.
- 11 And while we were cautioned that, of
- 12 course, everything that we heard could be changed at
- a moment's notice, with no notice to us, that
- 14 certainly the direction of the discussion was that --
- 15 that private activity bonds, which account for
- approximately 24 billion of our 25 billion in
- 17 outstanding conduit bonds, the vast majority of that,
- 18 not -- not -- of that 24 billion is nonprofit
- organizations for capital projects. That they
- 20 were -- that the conventional thinking, at least as
- of October 10th, was these were -- these were not on
- 22 the table. And indeed, there was some indication
- 23 that possibly -- and again, these were discussions
- since November of 2016, that the direction of federal

- 1 policy may well have been towards expanding private
- 2 activity bonds for other purposes given -- given the
- 3 very large private sector and capital markets
- 4 component of them.
- 5 So last Thursday morning, we were
- 6 surprised. I think lots of people were surprised.
- 7 And so, obviously, Eric and I have been in
- 8 discussions. I've been in discussions with various
- 9 members of our board and, of course, Staff and other
- 10 stakeholders, whether it's the organizations that
- 11 represent fellow issuers, NAHEFFA in the nonprofit
- 12 sector, or the issuers that represent economic
- development, pri- -- private, corporate, and
- individual borrowers like CDFA.
- We've also been in touch with the --
- or actually the Government Finance Officers
- 17 Association, GFOA, has been in touch with us, and of
- 18 course, we're keeping the Governor's Office and all
- of our public stakeholders advised.
- So obviously, a threat to our very
- 21 existence causes us to think deeply about who we are,
- 22 what we do, the value that we provide. And -- and I
- 23 came up with this rather short definition, is that
- the Authority Board Members and Staff, we're stewards

- 1 and operate a public utility that operates -- that
- 2 provides a financial benefit. It operates on a
- 3 margin business within a relative value market. And
- 4 I think the fact that the municipal bond market
- 5 nationally, is a relative value market. And the
- 6 proposed elimination of private activity bonds
- 7 eliminates the margin of economic value that the
- 8 Authority and all private activity market
- 9 participants provide.
- 10 And while we have many allies -- and
- 11 I've named some of them -- and many stakeholders,
- we've also been in touch with at least one rating
- agency and received any number of communications from
- 14 national law firms, national banks -- have been in
- 15 communication with some of those national banks.
- 16 I just want to stress to everybody it
- does not appear that we are on the anybody's
- 18 do-or-die list, and so it falls upon us to educate
- folks to make the case of the value that we provide.
- I think it's worth noting how much the Authority
- 21 punches above its weight in Illinois for economic
- impact. We're run by 13 volunteer Board Members; we
- have 12 employees; we have 4 temporary staff. Our
- operating budget is about \$4 million a year. Our

- 1 employees are not in the state pension nor are we
- 2 tied to the state budget in any sort of operational
- 3 sense.
- 4 But I've been in State Government
- 5 since 2003. Before the Authority, I worked for the
- 6 State Department of Commerce and Economic
- 7 Opportunity. And I would -- it's difficult for me to
- 8 think of any similarly-sized organization and budget
- 9 that has such disproportionate positive impact on our
- 10 state.
- I would -- because -- I would point
- 12 everyone to a 2013 national economic study by one
- of -- an organization that we're a member of, the
- 14 National Association of Health Education Facilities
- 15 Finance Agencies, NAHEFFA. And they estimated in
- 16 2013 that, nationally, the elimination of private
- activity bonds, for nonprofit only, would cost
- 18 nonprofits an additional \$166.3 billion in interest
- 19 expenses, reduce the national gross domestic product
- 20 by \$23.6 billion, and lose just over 299,000 jobs
- 21 generating \$15.6 billion in labor income.
- 22 Considering we're about, you know, we're the fifth
- largest economy and population in the country, we can
- 24 work down from there.

1 But I do want to go over these 2 questions, because they're good ones, and I 3 understand that the Authority members probably have 4 them, and it's -- I wanted to address them in some 5 detail. 6 Again, as I mentioned, 24 billion of 7 our outstanding 25 billion outs- -- conduit bonds 8 that are outstanding would be affected going forward, 9 under the elimination proposed by Subtitle G of

Section 3601 of House Resolution 1.

10

19

January 1st, 2018.

- 11 This private activity bonds, 12 including -- and the vast majority of those are 13 nonprofits. There are some private businesses and 14 individual beginning farmers, but those are 15 relatively small numbers. This represents 16 approximately 96 percent of the Authority's current 17 outstanding portfolio. Now, these would not be 18 affected, but we could not do new ones as of
- I think that another -- and this gets
 into the details of individual bond issuances and how
 they are tied to interest rates and bond documents,
 but if there was a reissuance for tax purposes or a
 refunding after January 1st, 2018, it appears that

- 1 these refunded, refinanced, or reissuance for tax
- 2 purposes, that the interest on these bonds may well
- 3 be taxable. This has become, I think, particularly
- 4 important since such a large percentage of our
- 5 portfolio is composed of bank direct purchases.
- 6 So let's talk about revenue. Again,
- 7 as I mentioned, we operate on just over \$4 million a
- 8 year. We operate very lean and we've operated in the
- 9 black. But for the last two years, and again, we've
- 10 had the additional revenue from the Clean Water
- 11 Initiative State Revolving Fund on behalf of Illinois
- 12 Environmental Protection Agency, and the reworking of
- this program began in 2013, but it really accelerated
- 14 with speed in September of '16, going down and
- 15 continuing until September 17. And, of course, we
- 16 have the future runway on that.
- But, you know, I just want to remind
- 18 the Board Members that the efforts of IEPA and our
- ability to partner with them, on a national rankings
- between '89 -- 1989 and 2012, Illinois was ranked
- 21 about 20th in the country in capacity expansion under
- 22 the State Revolving Fund. And between 2013 and
- 23 September of 2017, we now have \$1.2 billion, and that
- 24 ranks us fourth nationally during that time period.

- 1 It's an incredible impact and IEPA has 2 done an amazing job taking these funds and getting 3 them out to Illinois local governments in the form of 4 low interest loans and reducing the taxes and 5 interest obligations of those local governments and 6 their taxpayers across the state. 7 But for the last two years, again, 8 we've had two -- two diversifications: The Clean 9 Water Initiative State Revolving Fund and a legacy declining asset in the form of about 70 local 10 11 government alternate revenue bond sources, but it's 12 been about 66 percent. That's what private activity 13 bonds represent to the Authority. And, of course, 14 our model here is that the authority has paid at 15 closing on a one-time basis. Other authorities have 16 annual fees, but that has not been our model. And we 17 understand, particularly from our nonprofit 18 borrowers, that they appreciate the one-time --19 one-time fee. 20 In the years before that -- and,
- In the years before that -- and,

 again, there have been one-time -- one-time revenue

 increases due largely to legacy -- unanticipated

 legacy benefits, but the issuance of private activity

 bonds has accounted for between 55 percent and 79

- 1 percent of the Authority's revenue.
- 2 So any questions on the revenue impact
- 3 to the Authority?
- 4 (No response.)
- 5 MEISTER: Great.
- 6 CHAIRMAN HORNE: Hey, Chris -- Hey, Chris, it's
- 7 Bob.
- 8 I don't know if -- if there's any
- 9 votes in this meeting, I've got to leave in about
- 10 five Minutes.
- 11 MEISTER: Right.
- 12 CHAIRMAN HORNE: I apologize.
- 13 MEISTER: We will -- thank you. I'll just
- 14 handle things very quickly from that.
- The State Revolving Fund is not -- is
- not impacted by that proposal. Do we have any going
- 17 concern issues? We do not, as Chairman Anderberg
- indicated. We have reserves, and the -- at least I
- am, but certainly the Board is also committed to the
- 20 staff to continuing operations, and, by extension, to
- 21 our universe of borrowers.
- 22 And I just want to leave on -- the
- final point is page 48 of the document put out by the
- 24 House Ways and Means Committee last Thursday

- 1 indicates that the rationale for eliminating private
- 2 activity bonds is that the federal government should
- 3 not subsidize borrowing costs of private businesses
- 4 allowing them to pay lower interest rates while
- 5 competitors with similar credit worthiness are unable
- 6 to avail themselves.
- 7 I've highlighted why that is not the
- 8 case. And there is an MIT economist, Dr. James
- 9 Poterba, who issued a study that indicated that the
- savings that the joint commission on taxation
- 11 indicated, \$38.9 billion over 10 years, in papers in
- 12 2008 and 2011. That may be overestimated by
- something in the order of 40 to 50 percent.
- We do have a resolution on the agenda
- for both of Executive Committee and the Board.
- 16 That's for Open Meetings Act. I think that it's
- 17 probably discussion purposes only, but I just wanted
- to keep that available to the Board.
- 19 Chairman?
- 20 CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: And I -- yeah. I think,
- 21 to follow-up on Chris -- Chris's comments, we're not
- going to do a resolution, but we're going -- what the
- 23 IFA, along with other agencies and associations that
- are affected by this, we need to do some deep and

- 1 quick education to the House Ways and Means Committee
- because the statement that they put out is -- is,
- 3 personally, or my business perspective, is disturbing
- 4 if they're making federal tax policy based on
- 5 something that is completely not true and unfounded.
- There is no advantage given to one
- 7 entity over another by using tax-exempt bonding. If
- 8 you qualify for tax-exempt bonding, as we all know,
- 9 your competitor would as well. It's just not -- it's
- 10 a false statement.
- 11 So we -- it's clear, I've been told in
- the past, when I've lobbied on Capital Hill for
- 13 manufacturing, for my own business, if you're not at
- 14 the table, you're on the menu. And we need to be --
- we need to be at the table here in a large way the
- 16 few days to make sure this -- we can get this knocked
- 17 out.
- So either way -- the IFA will survive
- 19 either way and we will -- we'll diversity like we've
- 20 been wanting to do, and either way we'll continue
- 21 operation. So...
- 22 With that, is there any other business
- 23 to come before the Committee?
- 24 BRONNER: Nope.

1	CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Any public comment?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Okay. Hearing none, I
4	would like to get a motion to adjourn the meeting.
5	MR. KNOX: So moved.
6	CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Motion by Mr. Knox.
7	Do I have a second?
8	CHAIRMAN HORNE: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Second by Mr. Horne.
10	All those in favor?
11	(Chorus of ayes.)
12	CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: Opposed?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN ANDERBERG: The ayes have it.
15	Thank you.
16	MEISTER: Thank you.
17	FLETCHER: The time is 8:29 a.m.
18	(Which were all the
19	proceedings had.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS:
2	COUNTY OF COOK)
3	Brad Benjamin, being first duly sworn on oath,
4	says that he is a Certified Shorthand Reporter, that
5	he reported in shorthand the proceedings given at the
6	taking of said hearing, and that the foregoing is a
7	true and correct transcript of his shorthand notes so
8	taken as aforesaid and contains all the proceedings
9	given at said Illinois Finance Authority Meeting.
10	
11	
12	
	Certified Shorthand Reporter
13	No. 084-004805
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	