1	ILLINOIS FINANCE AUTHORITY
2	CONDUIT FINANCING COMMITTEE MEETING
3	December 10, 2019, at 8:30 a.m.
4	
5	
6	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at the Conduit
7	Financing Meeting of the Illinois Finance
8	Authority on December 10, 2019, at the hour of
9	8:30 a.m. pursuant to notice, at 160 North LaSalle
10	Street, Suite S-1000, Chicago, Illinois.
11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	CHAIRMAN LYLE McCOY, (via audio conference)
14	MR. ERIC ANDERBERG
15	MR. JAMES J. FUENTES
16	MR. MIKE GOETZ
17	MR. WILLIAM HOBERT
18	MS. ARLENE JURACEK
19	MR. JEFFREY WRIGHT, (via audio conference)
20	ILLINOIS FINANCE AUTHORITY STAFF MEMBERS
21	MR. CHRISTOPHER MEISTER, Executive Director
22	MR. BRAD FLETCHER, Vice President
23	MS. ELIZABETH WEBER, General Counsel and Legal
24	Advisor to the Board

- 1 MR. MIKE S. MOSS, Associate General Counsel
- 2 MS. SARA PERUGINI, Vice President(via audio conference)
- 3 MR. RICHARD FRAMPTON, Executive Vice President
- 4 MS. LORRIE KARCHER, Program Administrator, Loans and Guarantee/Agriculture
- 5 SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
- 6 Renee E. Brass, CSR, RPR.

1	FUENTES: Who is on the call?
2	KARCHER: Lorrie Karcher, from Mt. Vernon
3	McCOY: Lyle McCoy. And thank you for
4	subbing in for me.
5	PERUGINI: Sara Perugini here as well.
6	FUENTES: I've been asked by the
7	Executive Director to lead this meeting of
8	the Conduit Financing Committee as Chairman
9	McCoy will be participating via audio
10	conference today.
11	I would like to call the meeting
12	to order.
13	Will the Assistant Secretary
14	please call the roll.
15	MOSS: Thank you. The time is
16	8:30 a.m.
17	James Fuentes.
18	FUENTES: Here.
19	MOSS: Mike Goetz.
20	GOETZ: Here.
21	MOSS: Will Hobert.
22	HOBERT: Here.
23	MOSS: Arlene Juracek.
24	JURACEK: Here.

1	MOSS: Jeffrey Wright.
2	And Lyle McCoy.
3	McCOY: Here.
4	MOSS: Chair Anderberg, ex-officio
5	nonvoting.
6	Member Fuentes, a quorum of the
7	committee members has been constituted. We
8	did just have who just joined?
9	WRIGHT: Jeffrey Wright.
10	MOSS: Let the record reflect that
11	Jeffery Wright has joined.
12	MEISTER: And Mr. Anderberg is running
13	late.
14	FUENTES: Does anyone wish to make any
15	additions, edits or corrections to the
16	minutes from November 12, 2019?
17	(No response.)
18	FUENTES: Hearing none, I would like to
19	request a motion to approve the minutes. Is
20	there such a motion?
21	HOBERT: So moved.
22	JURACEK: Second.
23	FUENTES: All those in favor?
24	(Chorus of ayes.)

1	FUENTES: Opposed?
2	(No response.)
3	FUENTES: The ayes have it.
4	I would like to ask for the
5	general consent of the members to consider
6	each of the new business items collectively
7	and to have the subsequent recorded vote
8	applied to each respective individual item
9	unless there are any specific new business
10	items that a member would like to consider
11	separately.
12	GOETZ: I would like to recuse myself
13	from deliberations and voting with respect to
14	Item No. 7, Advocate Health Care, on the new
15	business items because I have a family member
16	who works for the financial advisor.
17	FUENTES: Thank you. We will consider
18	Items 1 through 6 and 8 and 9 first under a
19	consent agenda, then we will consider Item 7
20	separately.
21	Mr. Frampton.
22	FRAMPTON: Thank you, Member Fuentes.
23	Tab 1 in the Board book, this may also
24	be found on Page 21 of the Conduit Financing

1	Committee packet is a preliminary bond
2	resolution being requested by Roosevelt
3	University. The not-to-exceed amount is
4	\$15 million.
5	Roosevelt is requesting your
6	consideration and approval of this
7	preliminary bond resolution to establish an
8	expenditure reimbursement date.
9	Under the Internal Revenue Code,
10	the preliminary bond resolution from the IFA
11	Board represents an action declaring official
12	intent. That's what the purpose of this
13	preliminary bond resolution is.
14	Accordingly, approval of this
15	resolution would provide for expenditure
16	reimbursement eligibility on the scope of
17	proposed expenditures described in
18	paragraph 1 of the purpose in the Board
19	summary report.
20	All such expenditures would be
21	covered provided that they're incurred up to
22	60 days prior to today's preliminary bond

resolution approval date or October 11, 2019,

so any expenditures relating to what is

23

described within the scope of the resolution would be covered beginning October 11 and going forward.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In terms of the scope of the proposed expenditures, they include, one, paying or reimbursing the university for the payment of the costs of acquiring certain assets of Robert Morris University; two, paying or reimbursing Roosevelt University for the payment of the costs of acquiring fixtures, furniture and equipment, making improvements to Roosevelt and/or Robert Morris leased and/or owned facilities and relocating staff and programs, paying costs of issuance on the proposed IFA bonds and the resolution provides for establishing intent for expenditure reimbursement to the extent allowed under the IFA Act and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

The resolution also authorizes the Authority's staff to proceed with publication of a TEFRA hearing, public notice for the financing as required under the Internal Revenue Code for all private activity bond

2	Just to be clear, the prospective
3	locations covered by this resolution will
1	cover all Roosevelt and Robert Morris owned
5	or leased facilities and campuses.

Roosevelt has two campuses, one in downtown Chicago, and a second in Schaumburg, while Robert Morris has six locations including downtown Chicago, Arlington Heights, Elgin, Orland Park, Peoria and Waukegan.

This request and the associated preliminary bond resolution will represent the next step forward for Roosevelt and Robert Morris Universities as they pursue their planned merger originally publically announced on October 2, 2019, which was the date on which Roosevelt submitted an application to the Higher Learning Commission to make Robert Morris University Illinois a part of Roosevelt and concurrent with Roosevelt's submission of a merger application proposal to the Higher Learning Commission.

1	The planned merger is also subject
2	to approval by the Illinois Board of Higher
3	Education and by the respective governing
4	boards of each university.
5	Spring 2020 is the anticipated
6	timeline for delivering approval according to
7	published reports.
8	This project will be returned to
9	the Board for a bond resolution likely in
10	advance of obtaining the regulatory
11	approvals. The whole reason for that is they
12	want to be able to spring into action and
13	close this deal as soon as practicable.
14	I would also note that given the
15	preliminary initial nature of the University's
16	request, this report is subject to
17	substantial revision as facts are publically
18	disseminated between now and the time the
19	transition terms are fully negotiated, the
20	bond issue is documented and the University
21	returns to IFA for consideration.
22	Additionally, pursuant to
23	Section 3 of the resolution, the term of this
24	preliminary bond resolution is limited to one

year from today. Accordingly, the University
will have a period of one year in which to
finalize the terms of this proposed financing
and return for consideration of a final bond
resolution and to also close and fund this
deal.

In terms of the bond structure, the proposed IFA Series 2020 bonds will be purchased directly. They will be ultimately underwritten and sold in a nonrated private placement to Preston Hollow Capital, LLC of Dallas, Texas.

Preston Hollow is the owner of
the -- they are the initial owner of
approximately \$195 million of IFA refunding
bonds that were issued in 2018 and earlier
this year in 2019. PHC is also the
authorized bond owner representative for
those prior 2018 and 2019 bonds, and Preston
Hollow is authorized as the bond owner
representative to approve and authorize the
issuance of new additional debt by the
university.

So Preston Hollow as the owner of

1	the 2018 and '19 bonds controls whether the
2	University is able to incur additional debt,
3	and they are going to permit this to go
4	forward, so there are a couple of conditions.
5	The University must satisfy a minimum
6	specified appraised real estate/total debt
7	ratio.
8	Matters relating to the real
9	estate appraisals are covered towards the
10	on Pages 17 through 19 of the Board report.
11	The combined appraised values of the
12	properties total \$368.8 million. Those
13	appraisals were ordered by Preston Hollow and
14	completed over the past year.
15	Again, the total debt of the
16	University is roughly \$230 million at the
17	present.
18	Roosevelt will be selecting an
19	underwriter for this deal. They will be
20	announced, and the bond documentation will
21	commence after the underwriter is selected.
22	Once that happens, bond
23	documentation will begin and once completed
24	to the mutual satisfaction of all the

1	parties, including IFA's counsel, Roosevelt
2	will return to the IFA Board for
3	consideration of a final bond resolution.
4	Just in terms of financial
5	performance, historical financials are
6	discussed on Pages 12 through 14 of the
7	report.
8	Key metrics for operating
9	metrics for most private universities in
10	Illinois, just about all of them have
11	been have experienced substantial
12	enrollment declines. That's true of both
13	Roosevelt and Robert Morris.
14	One of the hoped-for outcomes of
15	this merger is they will be able to
16	consolidate and reduce fixed overhead, as
17	well as controlling operating expenses and
18	providing a larger base of students to cover
19	their remaining overhead.
20	As reported on Page 14, Roosevelt
21	has already focused on expense control, and
22	as you can see in the chart in the middle of
23	Page 14, they have undertaken efforts to
24	reduce faculty and staff head count.

1	Page 15 reports forecasts.
2	Conservatively combined enrollment is
3	forecast at roughly 6,050 students. That is
4	our, IFA staff's, conservative estimate.
5	There's nothing publically available, even
6	regarding the fall 2019 enrollments yet, but
7	this provides a reasonable basis for
8	forecasting both revenues and expenses, as
9	well as overhead going forward, and the
10	forecast shows that based on an assumption
11	that this would drive both a 45 percent
12	increase in revenues and expenses that
13	Roosevelt would the combined Roosevelt
14	would continue to be able to service that at
15	pretty much at in a manner consistent with
16	historical levels.
17	With that I'll conclude my remarks
18	and turn things over to you for any questions
19	or comments.
20	JURACEK: This is all contingent on the
21	merger being completed?
22	FRAMPTON: Yes. The financing
23	ultimately will be contingent upon that, so
24	what I expect is this will return to the

1	Board. It will be contingent upon all the
2	regulatory approvals and the respective
3	approvals of each board, of each governing
4	board.
5	Any other questions?
6	McCOY: Rich, it's Lyle. Just as a
7	point of clarification. On the structure,
8	Page 2 or bottom Page 1, start of Page 2, you
9	talk about the initial private placement
10	purchaser will be Preston Hollow, and then it
11	will be privately placed. How does that
12	work?
13	FRAMPTON: Okay. Roosevelt will engage
14	an underwriter, but there will be a private
15	placement agreement and an initial investor
16	letter under which all of the this initial
17	series of 2020 bonds, they will be sold in
18	their entirety as far as we know right now to
19	Preston Hollow.
20	McCOY: So Preston Hollow will okay.
21	I thought that was the case, but I wasn't
22	sure.
23	FRAMPTON: Yeah. I don't think we have

ever had an instance where the private

placement purchaser has been identified
without an underwriter being identified
upfront, so that is kind of an idiosyncratic
point.

McCOY: My only comment, I know this is just a preliminary thing, but in general the history with this creditor over the last few years gives me some heartache. I know that new management has come in and they have restructured things and moving forward, but I think we have to see what's going to happen with the merger and also what's going to happen with respect to looking forward.

I know they're successful in managing some costs, but how does that look like going forward and also what the revenues will look like, what the student growth, et cetera, will look like, because I think this has some challenges.

They are working through them and obviously has the support of folks like

Preston Hollow, but it will be interesting to when it matures a little and we see it come back to see what the numbers really do look

1 like. 2 FRAMPTON: Additionally, too, one thing 3 I just want to point out is that many of 4 Roosevelt's faculty members are covered by a 5 collective bargaining agreement. None of Robert Morris are, and for that reason, even 7 when this returns for a final bond resolution, it's not likely that they will be 8 in a position to even know what their 9 forecast faculty retention is going to be. 10 It's just not going to be known at that 11 12 point. 13 McCOY: Okay. 14 MOSS: I'd like the record to reflect 15 that Mr. Wright has entered the room -- no. 16 Mr. Anderberg. Mr. Anderberg. I'm so sorry. 17 FRAMPTON: Okay. 18 19 FUENTES: Let's go to item 2, 20 University of Chicago Medical Center. 21 Ms. Perugini. PERUGINI: Good morning. Item No. 2 in 22 23 your Board book is a final resolution for a

not-to-exceed amount of \$50 million for the

Ţ	University of Chicago Medical Center. Bond
2	proceeds will be used by the University of
3	Chicago Medical Center who is the borrower to
4	refund all or a portion of the outstanding
5	Series 2009B bonds and to pay cost of issuance.
6	Under borrower's description there
7	are details about the three hospitals
8	operated by the borrowers and also mention of
9	the obligated group, University of Chicago
10	Medical Center and Ingalls entities
11	affiliated in 2017, and earlier this year,
12	the Authority assisted in bringing in the
13	Ingalls entities into the obligated group.
14	If you look under credit
15	indicators, the Series 2009B bonds are
16	currently rated, but the new Series 2020
17	bonds will not be rated as they will be
18	directly purchased through JP Morgan Chase
19	Bank.
20	Such purchase will comply with the
21	IFA bond program handbook policies with respect to
22	nonrated bonds, so no exception to the IFA
23	bond program handbook is being requested.
24	Regarding the structure, if you

1	turn to Page 2 of your Board book, the series
2	2009B bonds will be issued on a forward
3	basis excuse me, the Series 2020 bonds
4	will be issued on a forward basis. This
5	means a forward bond purchase agreement will be
6	executed it looks like this week.
7	At the time that the Board books
8	were printed, they were anticipating December
9	of '19.
10	But the forward bond purchase agreement
11	will set the interest rate for the bonds
12	now. However, the bonds will not be funded
13	and settled until May 18, 2020, or within
14	90 days thereafter.
15	May 18, 2020, is the first date
16	that the Series 2009B bonds can be
17	called prior to the August 19, 2020,
18	redemption date. The transaction is
19	structured this way so the borrower can take
20	advantage and secure the current low interest
21	rate. In essence, this structure creates a
22	synthetic advanced refunding.
23	If you look also on Page 2, you
24	can see the estimated sources and uses.

1	Flipping to Page 4, you can see
2	that the new interest rate is estimated not
3	to exceed 3.5 percent.
4	On Page 7, University of Chicago
5	Medical Center service area is detailed, and
6	if you turn to Page 8, you can see our
7	estimated fees and also the obligated group financials
8	for the year ended on June 2017 through 2019.
9	You will see EBITDA and revenues
10	have grown each year. Debt service and days
11	cash on hand are strong.
12	And, finally, at the bottom of
13	Page 8, the estimated net present value
14	savings of this refunding is approximately
15	\$4.3 million.
16	Does anyone have any questions or
17	comments?
18	(No response.)
19	Okay. Thank you.
20	FUENTES: Okay. Let's go to Item 3,
21	Notre Dame College Prep.
22	FLETCHER: Tab 3 in your Board books is
23	a final bond resolution on behalf of Notre

1	Dame College Prep in a not-to-exceed amount
2	of \$7 million.
3	Founded in 1954 by the

Congregation of Holy Cross, Notre Dame

College Prep is a private Catholic all male

high school located in Niles, which serves an

average of approximately 730 to 750 students

primarily drawn from Chicago, Morton Grove,

Niles, Park Ridge.

To the transaction, the plan of finance seeks to refund the outstanding IFA Series 2009 bonds with a new bond issue that will be purchased in whole by Wintrust Bank. The final maturity date will not exceed 20 years from the date of issuance and remain consistent with the final maturity date of the Series 2009 bonds being refunded, in other words, April of 2039.

Very quickly, turning to Page 5 of the confidential section of the report, because the high school is a nonrated entity, we provided a three-year historical financial summary, as well as a four-year financial forecast.

1	In summary, the school posted
2	operating net losses in 2017 and 2018 that
3	reflect a significant depreciation or a
4	non-cash expense.
5	Additionally, in May 2017 the
6	school entered into a forbearance agreement
7	with Fifth Third Bank as a successor to MB
8	Financial as a sole bondholder of the IFA
9	Series 2009 bond due to the school's
10	violation of financial covenant that, among
11	other requirements, provided that the school
12	maintain a minimum unrestricted cash in
13	investments of at least \$11 and a half
14	million.
15	The forbearance agreement is still
16	in effect as the school has been unable to
17	raise the necessary capital required of the
18	financial covenant, but, very importantly,
19	the school has not missed any principal or
20	interest payments at this time.
21	Furthermore, the school has worked
22	to clean up its balance sheet during the last
23	several years.
24	Accordingly, approximately

Ţ	13 months after entering into the forbearance
2	agreement in June 2018, so last year, the
3	Catholic Order of Foresters participated with
4	MB Financial Bank to become a subordinate
5	lender.
6	This meant that approximately
7	\$13,350,000 of exposure was reduced by
8	50 percent to MB Financial Bank at the time.
9	And, furthermore, in October 2018
10	the school obtained a release of an
11	obligation to repay a \$4.7 million loan, a
12	noninterest bearing loan, I should add, to
13	the priests of Holy Cross for the prior
14	purchase of property located at the school's
15	campus, so those were two significant steps
16	to clean up the school's balance sheet.
17	Accordingly, the second step, in
18	October of last year, there was a forgiveness
19	of the loan, reduced the school's overall
20	long-term indebtedness by approximately
21	35 percent at the time.
22	So now the third step, pursuant to
23	the forbearance agreement with Fifth Third
24	Bank, the school is required on or before

1	October of this year to submit a commitment
2	letter from a new bank to refinance the 2009
3	bond. Wintrust Bank did provide that
4	commitment letter, and they are accordingly
5	before us today for our consideration.

Although the proposed IFA Series
2019 bond will reduce the school's fixed
charges somewhat, the accompanying financial
forecast that we have provided does not
envision substantial enrollment growth, but
rather very conservative estimates of tuition
increases consistent with the last three
fiscal years.

Based on this assumption and others that are noted in the confidential section of the report, we forecast the school will generate sufficient operating cash flows to cover the associated debt with the IFA Series 2019 bond, as well as the continued subordinate debt with the Catholic Order of Foresters by multiples of 1.5, three times or better.

A quick note, the subordinated loan with the Catholic Order of Foresters

1	will consist of interest-only payments
2	during the next five years, so, of course,
3	that helps generate sufficient operating cash
4	flows and reduce debt service charges.
5	And a final note, Notre Dame
6	College Prep has posted strong and improving
7	days cash in investment estimates which was
8	at least 144 days of operating expenses
9	during the last three years.
10	Of quick note, our analyst,
11	Malcolm Simmons, helped with the financial
12	analysis here, and so I would like to thank
13	him for his efforts there, and I can answer
14	any questions.
15	(No response.)
16	FUENTES: Hearing none, Item 4,
17	beginning farmer bond, Elsberry.
18	KARCHER: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to
19	interrupt. Item No. 4 is a one-time final
20	bond resolution requesting approval for a
21	beginning farmer bond on Joshua Nicholas
22	Elsberry, who is purchasing 27 acres of
23	farmland in Edgar County in the not-to-exceed
24	amount of \$97,250. First Neighbor Bank is

1	the purchasing bank of this conduit
2	transaction.
3	That concludes the beginning
4	farmer bond.
5	FUENTES: Okay. Item 5, Community High School
6	District 99, DuPage County.
7	FLETCHER: We have approximately five
8	minutes left, so I'll be very brief.
9	This transaction was before us in
10	May of this year. We issued approximately
11	\$52 million. They are now returning for the
12	final tranche of bonds, so this will be a new
13	bond issue in the approximate amount of
14	\$75,950,000.
15	You'll note that the Board book
16	that we mailed last week indicated it was
17	only \$70 million. We received a request late
18	Friday evening to increase that amount
19	pursuant to the voter referendum that was
20	approved by the voters in the district, so
21	this final financing that is going through
22	the Authority will complete the overall
23	\$136 million master facility plan.
24	The district is still rated AA by

1	S&P, and we expect S&P to affirm that rating.
2	GOETZ: What's the total amount?
3	FLETCHER: \$75,950,000. The bond
4	resolution that will be in your folders
5	before you at the Board meeting will reflect
6	that higher amount. The notice did as well.
7	FRAMPTON: Brad, we have a little bit
8	of time, so you don't need to rush.
9	FLETCHER: Okay.
10	FUENTES: Any questions?
11	(No response.)
12	FUENTES: All right. Item 6, Elmhurst
13	College.
14	FLETCHER: Next is Item 6, a bond
15	resolution on behalf of Elmhurst College, as
16	a 501(c)(3)entity, the college issued its
17	refunding bond through IFA in 2016, in order
18	to refund its then outstanding portion of
19	debt associated with the IFA Series 1998 and
20	Series 1999 cultural pool bond financings.
21	The 2016 refunding bond was issued
22	in an approximate amount of \$20,200,000 and
23	purchased in whole by BBVA Mortgage
24	Corporation. Pursuant to the bond and loan

1	agreement entered into at the time, the
2	Series 2016 bond is bearing a variable rate
3	of interest based on LIBOR for an initial
4	term of approximately five years that would
5	otherwise end this coming February 1, 2022,
6	with a final maturity date of March 1, 2028.
7	Now, the bank and borrower are
8	asking for our consent to decrease the
9	effective interest rate borne on its Series
10	2016 bond by approximately 60 basis points,
11	as well as extend their relationship between
12	the borrower and the bank approximately six
13	years through maturity.
14	The outstanding principal amount
15	of the Series 2016 bond is approximately
16	\$15,200,000 at this time, so in the past three
17	years they have paid down \$5 million of
18	principal.
19	Chapman & Cutler is bond counsel
20	in this transaction and they have opined that
21	a TEFRA hearing is not necessary.
22	Our fee is listed on the
23	confidential section of Page 7 of the report.
24	I can answer any questions.

1	(No response.)
2	FUENTES: Hearing none, Item 8,
3	Rosecrance, Inc. bond trust indenture and
4	amendments.
5	PERUGINI: Item No. 8 in your Board
6	book is a memo and resolution for Rosecrance,
7	Inc., relating to amendments for its Series
8	2012A and Series 2012B bond indentures.
9	The Series 2012A and Series 2012B
10	bonds are each in an index rate period where
11	the index rate is based on LIBOR.
12	The bonds are privately held
13	, and the banks holding the bonds have
14	agreed to extend the index rate period to
15	maturity, but as a condition, the banks would
16	like the definition of LIBOR to be amended as
17	LIBOR is scheduled to be phased out by the
18	end of 2021.
19	IFA staff recommends approval.
20	Does anyone have any questions or
21	comments?
22	(No response.)
23	FUENTES: Okay. Let's go to Item 9,
24	allocation request for calendar year 2020,

1	private activity volume cap.
2	FRAMPTON: Thank you, Mr. Fuentes.
3	Item 9 is a resolution of intent
4	requesting an initial allocation of calendar
5	year 2020 private activity volume cap in the
6	amount of \$120 million.
7	This bond allocation will enable
8	the Authority to issue both beginning farmer
9	bonds and industrial revenue bonds for
10	manufacturing projects. They must use
11	current year volume cap, hence our request.
12	We would anticipate consistent
13	with prior years that we would allocate up to
14	\$10 million for beginning farmer bonds and the
15	remaining \$110 million for industrial revenue bonds
16	Are there any comments or
17	questions?
18	(No response.)
19	FUENTES: Hearing none, I would like to
20	request the motion to recommend approval of
21	the following new business items: Items 1,
22	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9. Is there such a motion?
23	GOETZ: So moved.
24	JURACEK: Second.

1 FUENTES: Will the Assistant Secretary 2 please call the roll. MOSS: On the motion and second, I'll 3 4 call the roll. Mr. Fuentes. 5 FUENTES: Yes. 7 MOSS: Mr. Goetz. 8 GOETZ: Yes. FUENTES: Mr. Hobert. 9 10 HOBERT: Yes. FUENTES: Ms. Juracek. 11 JURACEK: Yes. 12 MOSS: Mr. Wright. 13 14 WRIGHT: Yes. 15 MOSS: And Chair McCoy. 16 McCOY: Yes. 17 MOSS: Member Fuentes, the motion 18 carries. FUENTES: Thank you. Before we 19 20 consider Item 7, I'd like to ask Mr. Goetz to 21 exit the room. 22 MOSS: Let the record reflect that 23 Member Goetz has recused himself from deliberation by leaving the room.

1	FUENTES: Ms. Perugini, Advocate Health
2	Care Network.
3	PERUGINI: Item No. 7 in your Board
4	book is a memo and resolution for Advocate
5	Health Care Network relating to amendments
6	they would like to make to Series 2008A bonds
7	and accompanying documents.
8	Specifically this resolution
9	relates to the Series 2008A-1 and Series
10	2008A-2 bonds as the IFA approved similar
11	amendments to the Series 2008A-3 bonds
12	earlier this year.
13	The Series 2008A-1 and A-2 bonds
14	are each operating in long-term interest rate
15	periods and are subject to mandatory tender in
16	January and February 2020 respectively.
17	It is expected at that time the
18	bonds will be remarketed to a new long-term
19	interest rate period.
20	Advocate is locking the market and
21	has not yet determined the duration of such
22	period and is contemplating taking the bonds
23	out to maturity.
24	Also, in connection with the

1	remarketing, Advocate may want to remarket
2	the bonds at a premium or discount. This is
3	not currently allowed under the indenture, so
4	the indenture would have to be amended.
5	If the bonds are remarketed at a
6	premium, Advocate would receive a portion of
7	the bonds using that premium.
8	Also, in connection with the
9	remarketing, Advocate may waive its right to
10	call the bonds.
11	Again, all these decisions are
12	market driven and will be made closer to the
13	mandatory tender date.
14	Bond counsel has also informed us
15	that depending on the structure, there may be
16	re-issuance for tax purposes.
17	Advocate desires to preserve
18	flexibility to be able to best take advantage
19	of market conditions and the underlying
20	resolution details of these different
21	scenarios and approves the execution and
22	delivery of the underlying documents to make
23	them possible.
24	Does anybody have any questions or

1	comments?
2	(No response.)
3	FUENTES: Okay. Thank you. I would
4	like to request a motion to recommend for
5	approval the following new business item:
6	Item No. 7. Is there such a motion?
7	HOBERT: So moved.
8	JURACEK: Second.
9	FUENTES: Will the Assistant Secretary
10	please call the roll.
11	MOSS: On the motion and second, I'll
12	call the roll.
13	Mr. Fuentes.
14	FUENTES: Yes.
15	MOSS: Mr. Hobert.
16	HOBERT: Yes.
17	MOSS: Ms. Juracek.
18	JURACEK: Yes.
19	MOSS: Mr. Wright.
20	WRIGHT: Yes.
21	MOSS: And Chair McCoy.
22	McCOY: Yes.
23	MOSS: Member Fuentes, the motion
24	carries.

1	Let the record reflect that
2	Mr. Goetz has returned.
3	FUENTES: Is there any other business
4	to come before the Committee?
5	(No response.)
6	FUENTES: Hearing none, is there any
7	public comments for the Committee?
8	(No response.)
9	FUENTES: Hearing none, I would like to
10	request a motion to adjourn. Is there such a
11	motion?
12	GOETZ: So moved.
13	JURACEK: Second.
14	FUENTES: All those in favor?
15	(A chorus of ayes.)
16	FLETCHER: The ayes have it.
17	The time is 9:03.
18	(Whereupon the above
19	matter was adjourned.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	